

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Jnited	States Patent and Trademark Office
\ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450 ·
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,088	04/13/2004	Wen-Hsien Tsai		3087
41620	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CURRENCY TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION 2F-4. NO. 148, SEC. 4, CHUNG HSIAN EAST ROAD			BEAUCHAINE, MARK J	
TAIPEI,	, ,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			3653	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/709,088	TSAI, WEN-HSIEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark J. Beauchaine	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 April 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,088

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Said claim recites the limitation "buckling plates" in line 4 and in lines 5 and 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country; more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patent Number 6,105,747 by Uemizo et al. The apparatus disclosed by said '747 patent incorporates inlet 72, blocking member 18, passageway 12 and sensor 16 that read on the Applicant's banknote receiver, security plate, channel and sensor, respectively. Furthermore, said apparatus incorporates a timer circuit that causes the "existence of the bill in [a] predetermined period of time after passage of the bill through

Art Unit: 3653

the inlet sensor 73" (column 8, lines 32 plus). As a result of the sensing and timing function of said apparatus "the blocking member 18 is returned to the upper interceptive position" (column 8, line 50 plus).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over said '747 patent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Patent Number 5,806,649 by Walsh et al. Although said '747 patent fails to specifically disclose a validating apparatus having a sensor circuit in an upper chassis section above a currency passageway, such a configuration is well known in the art. Said '649 patent teaches a currency validator that incorporates sensor circuitry located above a currency passageway defined by plated 22 and 24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the circuitry/passageway configuration of said '649 patent into the apparatus of said '747 to provide a practical component configuration within the apparatus housing.

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over said '747 patent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Patent Number 4,348,656 by Gorgone et al. Although said '747 patent fails to specifically disclose arrow-shaped hooks at the end of blocking member 18, the use of such end members on currency blocking elements is well known in the art. Said '656 patent teaches tine assembly 112 that incorporates long and short arrow-shaped hooks alternately arranged in an array. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the tine assembly 112 of said '656 patent into the apparatus disclosed by the '747 patent to provide and effective currency blocking means.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Patent Number US 6,364,087 B1 by Abraham because of its validator 10, Patent Number 5,709,293 by Ishida et al because of its inlet shutter 71, and Patent Number 3,924,847 by Pescetto because of its blocking member 178.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark J. Beauchaine whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3653

(571)272-6934. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM through 5:00PM Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (571)272-6944. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mib

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600